REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Title of Publication: 4.rmvb

Other Known Title: Female Investigator With Huge Tits, Rape: Sakura Rubbed With Date Rape Drugs

Medium: Computer Moving Image File

Director/Author: Masaaki Hibino

Producer/Publisher: Not Stated

Country of Origin: Japan

Language: Japanese

Applicant: Comptroller of Customs

Distributor: Not Applicable

Classification:	Objectionable.

Descriptive Note: Not Applicable

Display Conditions: Not Applicable

Date of entry in Register: 07 May 2008

Date of direction to issue a label: Not Applicable

OFLC No: 703062

Unexcised version of publication:

	Components	**Running time**
Feature:	4.rmvb	18.24
Total running time:		18.24

Excisions: Not Applicable

Reasons for excisions:

Not Applicable

Summary of reasons
for classification:

The computer moving image file *4.rmvb* is classified as:
Objectionable.

This classification is because the publication promotes and supports the use of violence to compel any person to submit to sexual conduct in terms of s3(2)(b) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 (FVPC Act).

The publication is the fourth chapter of a sexually explicit Japanese production that features Japanese performers and is in the Japanese language. One feature length film has been downloaded as six individual chapters and each chapter has been submitted as a separate publication. The title of the production is translated as *Female Investigator With Huge Tits, Rape*. The total running time of each chapter is 18 minutes 24 seconds.

The publication depicts a woman being raped, drugged and sexually assaulted. The sexual violence is presented as a legitimate activity by men in a position of intimidating power. The publication encourages the viewer to find the sexual assault and humiliation of woman sexually arousing. Therefore, the publication promotes and supports the use of violence and coercion to compel any person to submit to sexual conduct.

The Classification Office has considered the effects of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 on the application of the classification criteria. The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression, but this is an outcome that is consistent with Parliament's intention that publications falling under s3(2) of the FVPC Act are deemed to be objectionable.